

Transparency and lexical strata

Péter Rebrus, ^{ρ} Péter Szigetvári, ^{ϵ} & Miklós Törkenczy ^{$\rho\epsilon$}
rebrus@nytud.hu, szigetvari@elte.hu, tork@nytud.hu

ρ = Research Institute for Linguistics, MTA & ϵ = Eötvös Loránd University

OCP16, Verona, 2019-01-16–18

Hungarian backness harmony (HBH)

the vowel inventory

	front (F)		back (B)	
	neutral (N)	round		
high	i i:	y y:	u u:	
mid	– e:	∅ ∅:	o o:	
low	ɛ –		ɑ ɑ:	

N vowels may be variable

- [BN]F **kontsɛrt-ɛk** 'concert-PL'
- [BN]F/B **fotɛl-ɛk/ok** 'armchair-PL'
- [BN]B **havɛr-ok** 'friend-PL'

potential parameters of variation

phonologically natural

- ▶ the Height Effect (Beňuš 2005, Hayes & Londe 2006)
- ▶ the Count Effect (Hayes & Londe 2006)

phonologically unnatural

- ▶ the quality and quantity of stem final Cs (Hayes & al 2009)

nonphonological

- ▶ lexical strata

the Height Effect (HE)

transparency (of N vowels) decreases from high to low

high vowels are always transparent: [Bi(:)]**B**

forint-ok 'HUF-PL', **papi:r-ok** 'paper-PL'

mid vowel may be transparent or vacillating: [Be:]**B** or [Be:]**F/B**

somse:d-ok 'neighbour-PL', **slove:n-εk/ok** 'Slovenian-PL'

low vowel typically vacillates: [Bε]**F/B**

fotεl-εk/ok 'armchair-PL'

the Count Effect (CE)

multiple N vowels decrease transparency

[BN] [Bi(:)]B, [Be:]B or [Be:]F/B, [Bε]F/B (= HE)

[BNi(:)] -F/B, **salitsil-εk/ok** 'salicyl-PL', **bakεlit-εk/ok** 'bakelite-PL'

[BNe:] -F/B, **klarine:t-εk/ok** 'clarinet-PL'

[BNε] -F, **kabinεt-εk** 'cabinet-PL', **kate:tεr-εk** 'catheter-PL'

Harmonic Stability (HS)

harmony of suffixed form matches that of its root

[B]B	→	[[B]N]B	[BN]B
ha:z-nak		ha:z-i-nak	pa:ri:z-nak

[BN]B	→	[[BN]N]B	≠ [BNN]F/B
forint-nak		forint-e:-nak	klarine:t-nək/nak
madrid-nak		madrid-i-nak	salitsil-nək/nak

[BN]F/B	→	[[BN]N]F/B	[BNN]F/B
ba:zɛl-nək/nak		ba:zɛl-i-nək/nak	bakɛlit-nək/nak

⇒ Harmonic Stability dominates the Count Effect (HS ≫ CE)

glosses: 'house', 'HUF', 'Madrid', 'Basel', Paris', 'clarinet', 'salicyl',
'bakelite', -i 'ADJZ', -nək/nak 'DAT'

transparency and vacillation

	[Bi(:)]	[Be:]		[Bɛ]
transparency of N	yes	yes	variable	variable
vacillation	no		yes	
subgroups	no	yes		yes

choice between nonvacillation and vacillation in [Be:] stems is based on lexical class

- ▶ “familiar” words (high frequency words, nonrecent loans, words of Finno-Ugric origin) do not vacillate: eg **somse:d-ok** ‘neighbour-PL’
- ▶ recent loans vacillate: eg **slove:n-ɛk/ok** ‘Slovenian-PL’

interim summary

[Be:] vs [Bɛ] words

- ▶ about half of the [Be:] roots are “familiar”, the other half are recent loans
- ▶ 95% of [Bɛ] roots are recent loans

the Height Effect

follows from the difference of the size of the lexical classes of “familiar” words and recent loans among [Be:] and [Bɛ] roots

but why are Bi(:) stems not variable by lexical strata?

Harmonic Uniformity (HU)

morphologically simplex and complex stems should be harmonically uniform

ie [BN] should behave like [B]N

recall Harmonic Stability

[B]N selects B suffix, since its root ([B]) also does so
ha:z-i-nak 'house-ADJZ-DAT' (since **ha:z-nak** 'house-DAT')

HU & HS

[BN] stems select B suffix

but how can Be: and Bε stems be variable then?

N/B alternations in suffixes & consequences

high: no alternation, all suffixes involving **i(:)** are invariant

⇒ $[[B]i(:)]B$

⇒ $[Bi(:)]B$ (by HU)

mid: some alternating suffixes (**e:~a:**), some invariant

⇒ $[[B]e:_{inv}]B$, $*[[B]e:_{alt}]$

⇒ $[Be:]B$, $[Be:]F/B$

low: only alternating suffixes (**ε~α**)

⇒ $*[[B]ε]$

⇒ $*[Bε]B$, only $[Bε]F/B$

overview

	BN transparency paralleled by HS $[BN]B \approx [[B]N]B$	invariant suffixes	lexical strata for $[BN]$ roots
i(:)	always	always	familiar or recent loans
e:	sometimes (stem-specific)	sometimes (suffix-specific)	familiar or recent loans
ε	n/a ($*[B]\epsilon$)	never	mostly recent loans

thanks to

- ▶ you
- ▶ the organizers
- ▶ NKFI #119863 “Experimental and theoretical investigation of vowel harmony patterns”