Morphosyntactically conditioned morphophonological variation

Péter Rebrus & Péter Szigetvári

Research Institute for Linguistics, MTA & Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest rebrus@nytud.hu, szigetvari@elte.hu

PhonVar 1, Barcelona, 2018-11-22

COMPARATIVE
PLURAL
2SG POSSESSIVE
ACCUSATIVE
SUPERESSIVE

trɛndi-bː okapi-k okapi-d okapi-t okapi-n ku:l-ab: ɛlɛfa:nt-ok ɛlɛfa:nt-od ɛlɛfa:nt-ot ɛlɛfa:nt-on pry:d-ɛbː ɛty:d-øk ɛty:d-ød ɛty:d-øt ɛty:d-øn

fri∫:-ɛbː nɛt-ɛk nɛt-ɛd nɛt-ɛt nɛt-ɛn¹

¹glosses: trendy, cool, prudish, fresh, okapi, elephant, etude, net

COMPARATIVE PLURAL 2SG POSSESSIVE ACCUSATIVE SUPERESSIVE trɛndi-bː okapi-k okapi-d okapi-t okapi-n ku:l-ab: ɛlɛfaːnt-ok ɛlɛfaːnt-od ɛlɛfaːnt-ot ɛlɛfaːnt-on

pry:d-ɛbː ɛty:d-øk ɛty:d-ød ɛty:d-øt ɛty:d-øn

fri∫:-ɛbː nɛt-ɛk nɛt-ɛd nɛt-ɛt

nɛt-ɛn¹

notes

after a vowel-final stem there's no vowel, else

¹glosses: trendy, cool, prudish, fresh, okapi, elephant, etude, net

\rightarrow COMPARATIVE	trɛndi-bː	kuːl- <mark>a</mark> bː	pryːd- <mark>ɛ</mark> bː	fri∫ı- <mark>ɛ</mark> bı
PLURAL	okapi-k	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> k	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> k	nɛt- <mark>ɛ</mark> k
2SG POSSESSIVE	okapi-d	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> d	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> d	nɛt- <mark>ɛ</mark> d
ACCUSATIVE	okapi-t	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> t	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> t	nɛt-ɛt
SUPERESSIVE	okapi-n	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> n	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> n	nɛt-ɛn¹

- after a vowel-final stem there's no vowel, else
- after adjectives we find a low and/or unrounded vowel ($\alpha \epsilon$)

¹glosses: trendy, cool, prudish, fresh, okapi, elephant, etude, net

COMPARATIVE	trɛndi-bː	kuːl- <mark>a</mark> bː	pryːd- <mark>ɛ</mark> bː	fri∫ı- <mark>ɛ</mark> bı
\rightarrow PLURAL	okapi-k	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> k	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> k	nɛt- <mark>ɛ</mark> k
\rightarrow 2SG POSSESSIVE	okapi-d	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> d	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> d	nɛt- <mark>ɛ</mark> d
\rightarrow ACCUSATIVE	okapi-t	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> t	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> t	nɛt- <mark>ɛ</mark> t
\rightarrow SUPERESSIVE	okapi-n	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> n	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> n	nɛt-ɛn¹

- after a vowel-final stem there's no vowel, else
- > after adjectives we find a low and/or unrounded vowel ($\alpha \epsilon$)
- after nouns we find a mid and/or rounded vowel (o $\phi \epsilon$)

¹glosses: trendy, cool, prudish, fresh, okapi, elephant, etude, net

COMPARATIVE	trɛndi-bː	kuːl- <mark>a</mark> bː	pryːd- <mark>ɛ</mark> bː	fri∫ı- <mark>ɛ</mark> bı
PLURAL	okapi-k	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> k	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> k	nɛt- <mark>ɛ</mark> k
2SG POSSESSIVE	okapi-d	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> d	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> d	nɛt- <mark>ɛ</mark> d
ACCUSATIVE	okapi-t	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> t	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> t	nɛt- <mark>ɛ</mark> t
SUPERESSIVE	okapi-n	ɛlɛfaːnt- <mark>o</mark> n	εtyːd- <mark>ø</mark> n	nɛt-ɛn¹

- after a vowel-final stem there's no vowel, else
- after adjectives we find a low and/or unrounded vowel ($\alpha \epsilon$)
- after nouns we find a mid and/or rounded vowel (o $\phi \epsilon$)
- ► the mid vowel is missing before ACC if the resulting cluster is unmarked word finally (nt nt jt rt lt st ft): bana:n-t 'banana-ACC', valky:r-t 'valkyrie-ACC', fɛs-t 'fez-ACC'

¹glosses: trendy, cool, prudish, fresh, okapi, elephant, etude, net

COMPARATIVE	kuːl- <mark>a</mark> bː	pryɪd- <mark>ɛ</mark> bɪ	fri∫ı- <mark>ɛ</mark> bı
PLURAL	kuːl- <mark>a/o</mark> k	pryːd- <mark>ɛ/ø</mark> k	fri∫ı- <mark>ε</mark> k
2SG POSSESSIVE	kuːl- <mark>o</mark> d	pryːd- <mark>ɛ/ø</mark> d	fri∫ı- <mark>ε</mark> d
ACCUSATIVE	kuːl- <mark>(a)</mark> t	pryːd- <mark>ɛ/ø</mark> t	fri∫ı- <mark>(ε)</mark> t
SUPERESSIVE	kuːl- <mark>o</mark> n	pryːd- <mark>ø</mark> n	fri∫ɪ- <mark>ε</mark> n

- adjectives with "nouny" suffixes have a variable presuffixal V
- this variability is itself variable: no variation with COMPARATIVE and SUPERESSIVE

 there is no clear borderline between adjectives (A) and nouns (N) in Hungarian: their syntactic and morphological distribution overlap to a great extent, but...

- there is no clear borderline between adjectives (A) and nouns (N) in Hungarian: their syntactic and morphological distribution overlap to a great extent, but
- 2. there are more and less typical syntactic positions where an A or a N may occur

- there is no clear borderline between adjectives (A) and nouns (N) in Hungarian: their syntactic and morphological distribution overlap to a great extent, but
- 2. there are more and less typical syntactic positions where an A or a N may occur
- 3. suffixes are more and less typically taken by A or N stems

- there is no clear borderline between adjectives (A) and nouns (N) in Hungarian: their syntactic and morphological distribution overlap to a great extent, but
- 2. there are more and less typical syntactic positions where an A or a N may occur
- 3. suffixes are more and less typically taken by A or N stems
- 4. based on their semantic properties lexical entries are more and less typically assignable to the categories A and N

- there is no clear borderline between adjectives (A) and nouns (N) in Hungarian: their syntactic and morphological distribution overlap to a great extent, but
- 2. there are more and less typical syntactic positions where an A or a N may occur
- 3. suffixes are more and less typically taken by A or N stems
- 4. based on their semantic properties lexical entries are more and less typically assignable to the categories A and N
- 5. the scales in 2-4 interact in selecting the linking vowel

adjectives (and nouns) in different syntactic positions

position	typical	nontypical	adjective example	noun example
attributive	А	N	az <mark>uːj</mark> haːz the new house	a somse:d ha:z the neighbour house 'the neighbouring house'
predicative	A, N		a haːz uːj the house new 'the house is new'	a ha:z i∫kola the house school 'the house is a school'
NP-head	N	А	az <mark>uːj</mark> the new 'the new one'	a somse:d the neighbour 'the neighbour(ing one)'

- "adjectivalness" decreases from top to bottom
- "nouniness" increases from top to bottom
- "NP-head" means the NP does not contain an (overt) noun

position	su	ffix ca	tegori	es	examples	
position	CMPR	PLUR	POSS	CASE	examples	
attributive	+				az uːj-abː haːz-ai-d-ban the new-CMPR house-PL-POSS.2SG-INE	
utilibutive					'in your newer houses'	
predicative	+	+			a ha:z-ai-d u:j-ab:-ak the house-PL-POSS.2SG new-CMPR-PL 'your houses are newer'	
NP-head	+	+	+	+	az u:j-ab:-ai-d-ban the new-CMPR-PL-POSS.2SG-INE 'in your newer ones'	

▶ no agreement of attribute and head in NP (\Rightarrow only CMPR)

position	su	ffix ca	tegori	es	examples	
position	CMPR	PLUR	POSS	CASE	champles	
attributive	+				az u:j-ab: ha:z-ai-d-ban the new-CMPR house-PL-POSS.2SG-INE 'in your newer houses'	
predicative	+	+			a ha:z-ai-d u:j-ab:-ak the house-PL-POSS.2SG new-CMPR-PL 'your houses are newer'	
NP-head	+	+	+	+	az u:j-ab:-ai-d-ban the new-CMPR-PL-POSS.2SG-INE 'in your newer ones'	

- ▶ no agreement of attribute and head in NP (\Rightarrow only CMPR)
- ▶ agrement of subject and predicate in number only (\Rightarrow only CMPR and PLUR)

position	su	ffix ca	tegori	es	examples	
position	CMPR	PLUR	POSS	CASE	champles	
attributive	+				az u:j-ab: ha:z-ai-d-ban the new-CMPR house-PL-POSS.2SG-INE 'in your newer houses'	
predicative	+	+			a ha:z-ai-d u:j-ab:-ak the house-PL-POSS.2SG new-CMPR-PL 'your houses are newer'	
NP-head	+	+	+	+	az u:j-ab:-ai-d-ban the new-CMPR-PL-POSS.2SG-INE 'in your newer ones'	

- ▶ no agreement of attribute and head in NP (\Rightarrow only CMPR)
- ▶ agrement of subject and predicate in number only (\Rightarrow only CMPR and PLUR)
- ▶ NP-head may take all these suffixes (⇒ CMPR, PLUR, POSS, CASE)

position	su	ffix ca	tegori	es	examples	
position	CMPR	PLUR	POSS	CASE	examples	
attributive					az uːj-abː haːz-ai-d-ban the new-CMPR house-PL-POSS.2SG-INE	
attributive					'in your newer houses'	
predicative	+	+			a ha:z-ai-d u:j-ab:-ak the house-PL-POSS.2SG new-CMPR-PL 'your houses are newer'	
NP-head	+	+	+	+	az u:j-ab:-ai-d-ban the new-CMPR-PL-POSS.2SG-INE 'in your newer ones'	

- ▶ no agreement of attribute and head in NP (\Rightarrow only CMPR)
- ▶ agrement of subject and predicate in number only (\Rightarrow only CMPR and PLUR)
- ▶ NP-head may take all these suffixes (⇒ CMPR, PLUR, POSS, CASE)
- the number of potential adjective suffixes increases from top to bottom

position	su	ffix ca	tegori	es	examples	
position	CMPR	PLUR	POSS	CASE	examples	
attributive					az uːj-abː haːz-ai-d-ban the new-CMPR house-PL-POSS.2SG-INE	
attributive					'in your newer houses'	
predicative	+	+			a ha:z-ai-d u:j-ab:-ak the house-PL-POSS.2SG new-CMPR-PL 'your houses are newer'	
NP-head	+	+	+	+	az u:j-ab:-ai-d-ban the new-CMPR-PL-POSS.2SG-INE 'in your newer ones'	

- ▶ no agreement of attribute and head in NP (\Rightarrow only CMPR)
- ▶ agrement of subject and predicate in number only (\Rightarrow only CMPR and PLUR)
- ▶ NP-head may take all these suffixes (⇒ CMPR, PLUR, POSS, CASE)
- the number of potential adjective suffixes increases from top to bottom
- the number of syntactic positions where an adjective suffix occurs decreases from left to right (COMPR > PLUR > POSS, CASE)

suffix	typical	nontypical	occurrence
comparative	А	N	attrib, predic, NP-head
plural	A, N		predicative, NP-head
possessive, case	N	А	NP-head

- the "adjectivalness" of suffixes decreases from top to bottom
- the "nouniness" of suffixes increases from top to bottom

adjectivalness of the suffix

functions	typical	suffixes					
Tunctions	categories	CMPR	PLUR	POSS	ACC	SUPE	
attributive	А	+					
predicative	A, N	+	+				
NP-head	N	+	+	+	+	+	
typical stems		A	A, N	Ν			
back linking vowels		a	a/o	a/o	<mark>a</mark> /o/∅	0	

linking vowel is

- Iow only before the typically adjectival COMPARATIVE
- variably low/mid before the nouny suffixes: PLUR, POSS, ACC
- mid only before the typically nouny SUPERESSIVE

adjectivalness of the stem

subclasses	A status	CMPR	PLUR	POSS	ACC	SUPE	examples	
irregular A (& regular N)	A/N	а	ο	0	ο	ο	vak 'blind' gazdag 'rich'	
hesitating A	A/?N	а	a/o	o a/o	o <mark>a</mark> /o	0	sabad 'free' boldog 'happy'	
regular A (& irregular N)	А	a	a	a	a	0	uːj 'new' maga∫ 'tall'	
typical stems		A	A, N	Ν				

- nouny A: low V only before the most adjectival COMPARATIVE
- prototypical A: mid vowel only with the most nouny superessive
- hesitating A: COMPARATIVE with stable low V, SUPERESSIVE with stable mid V, suffixes in between are more or less variable

adjectivalness of the stem

subclasses	A status	CMPR	PLUR	POSS	ACC	SUPE	examples	
irregular A (& reg. N)	A/N	а	ο	ο	ο	ο	vak 'blind' gazdag 'rich'	
hesitating A	A/?N	a	a/o	0	0	0	sabad 'free'	}c#
				a/o	a/o		boldog 'happy'	
regular A (& irreg. N)	А	а	а	а	a	o	uːj 'new' maga∫ 'tall'	
irregular A (& reg. N)	A/N	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	hindu 'Hindu' nɛtːoː 'net'),,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
regular A (& irreg. N)	А	Ø	a/Ø	Ø/? <mark>a</mark>	Ø/? <mark>a</mark>	Ø	hijuː 'vain' fɑkoː 'pale'	}v#
typical stems		A	A, N		Ν			

- V-final regular A stems exhibit variation with non-prototypical suffixes (nb a vowel may appear even after the stem-final vowel!)
- other V-final stems also exhibit stem-final V deletion, we do not have the space to look at the patterns here

can syntax govern the selection of the linking vowel? this would be theoretically problematic, and probably no, at least not directly

can syntax govern the selection of the linking vowel?

this would be theoretically problematic, and probably no, at least not directly

a usage-based explanation

syntax governs the frequency of adjective and noun stems taking adjectival and nouny suffixes, which in turn governs the selection of the linking vowel

in some detail...

- ▶ prototypically adjective suffix: CMPR \Rightarrow only low linking vowel
- ▶ protypically noun suffix: SUPERESSIVE (lexical case) ⇒ only mid linking vowel
- \blacktriangleright "borderline" suffixes: PLURAL, POSSESSIVE, ACCUSATIVE \Rightarrow low and mid V depending on the nouniness of the stem
 - regular N and irregular ("nouny") A: only mid linking V
 - regular A and irregular N (closed class): only low linking V
 - borderline cases: hesitation between low and mid linking V
- or the other way round: in non-prototypically adjectival or nouny items hesitation occurs with "borderline suffixes"

thanks to

you

the organizers

 NKFIH #119863 (Experimental and theoretical investigation of vowel harmony patterns)