WHAT MAKES KIT HAPPY?

Péter Szigetvári

Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest (**)
szigetvari@elte.hu



1 HAPPY tensing: questions

unstressed KIT > FLEECE, when word final or prevocalic (Wells 1982)

happy hápi > hápix	create kriéjt > kritéjt
zombie zómbi > zómbi:	Latvia látviə > látvi:

Q1 Why only in unstressed position?

Q2 Why prevocalically and word finally, but not preconsonantally?

Q3 How can a light syllable becomes heavy while unstressed?

(phonetically happy may not be identical to fleece (Fabricius 2002), the former is unstressed, the latter is stressed)

2 FLEECE is not a long vowel (nor is Goose one)

iy/uw (Batchelor 1809, Trager & Smith 1957, Chomsky & Halle 1968)ij/uw (Sweet 1900), ıj/uw (Lindsey 2019)

ix/ux (Jones 1917; later perpetuated in the British transcribing tradition)

	FLEECE, GOOSE	PRICE, MOUTH,	SQUARE, NURSE,
	GOAT	CHOICE	FORCE, START
occurs unstressed?	yes	no	no
occurs prevocalic?	yes	yes	no

3 The vowel inventory of British English

	C	#	V
short vowels: KIT i, STRUT ə, FOOT u,	MOC	no	no
DRESS e, TRAP a, LOT/CLOTH o	yes no		no
long vowels: NEAR ix, NURSE əx, CURE ux,	MOC	MOC	no
SQUARE ex, START/PALM/BATH ax, FORCE/NORTH/THOUGHT ox	yes yes		no
diphthongs: fleece ij, goat əw, goose uw,	MOC	MOC	MOC
face ej , price aj , mouth aw , choice oj	yes	yes	yes

4 Diphthongs are VC, not VV

(Batchelor 1809, Trager & Bloch 1941, Trager & Smith 1957)

- ə-epenthesis after "diphthongs": *feel* fijəl, *fail* fejəl, *file* fajəl, *foil* fojəl, *hire* hajə, *hour* awə
- no hiatus filling after "diphthongs": rarer reː⟨r⟩ə, drawing droː⟨r⟩iŋ vs. paying pejiŋ lower ləwə
- j and w occur after long vowels (narwhal naːwəl, Gerwig gəːwig) and unstressed i and ə (beyond bijónd, away əwéj), but not after stressed short vowels, since these are (mis)analysed as diphthongs (chaos kéjos; Genoa dzénəwə rhymes with Ottawa ótəwə; oasis əwéjsis begins like away əwéj)
- diphthongs ending in **j** may not be followed by **j** and diphthongs ending in **w** may not be followed by **w**, but they may vice versa: *Taiwan* tájwón, *kiwi* kíjwij, *alleluia* álilúwjə (because there are no geminate *consonants*)

I conclude that diphthongs (shaded in §3) are *not* part of the vowel inventory (any more than el, an, əs, or ok is).

5 The stressability scale for English

5.1 Syllable weight: VV > VC > V (cf. Hayes 1995)

never stressed	unstressed or stressed only stressed		
C <	VC#/ <u>V</u> CV <	/ < VCC*	VV
syllabic consonant	light syllable	h e a v y closed syllable	s y l l a b l e long vowel

^{*} not muta-cum-liquida

5.2 Segment sonority: nonhigh V > nonlow V > C (cf. Kenstowicz 1997)

long vowels	ix ex ax əx ox ux	only stressed (cf. §5.1)
nonhigh vowels	e a o	only stressed
nonlow vowels	i ə u	either stressed or unstressed
consonants	rlmnst	never stressed

note: vowel+glide+schwa strings coalesce into a long vowel, but only in stressed position (long vowels may not occur unstressed)

idea ajdíjə > ajdíx	area éxrijə (*éxrix)
secure sikjúwə > sikjúx	jaguar dzágjuwə (*dzágjuː)

6 HAPPY tensing: answers

нарру "tensing" is **j**-epenthesis, which occurs word finally and prevocalically, where short vowels are not allowed: hápi > hápij; kriéjt > krijéjt.

A1 Epenthesis occurs because *VV and *V# are extended to unstressed position.

A2 Stressed KIT does not occur either prevocalically or word finally, also cf. A3.

A3 Intervocalic and word-final C-epenthesis does not make a syllable heavy; it is the least obtrusive repair. FLEECE is not a vowel, it is KIT+yod.

References

Batchelor, Thomas. 1809. An orthoëpical analysis of the English language. London: Didier and Tebbett.

Chomsky, Noam and Morris Halle. 1968. The sound pattern of English. New York: Harper & Row.

Fabricius, Anne H. 2002. Weak vowels in modern RP: an acoustic study of HAPPY tensing and KIT/schwa shift. Language Variation and Change 14. 211–237. DOI: 10.1017/S0954394502142037

Hayes, Bruce. 1995. Metrical stress theory: principles and case studies. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Jones, Daniel. 1917. An English pronouncing dictionary. London: J. M. Dent & Sons.

Kenstowicz, Michael. 1997. Quality-sensitive stress. Rivista di Linguistica 9. 157–188.

Lindsey, Geoff. 2019. English after RP: Standard British pronunciation today. London: Palgrave Macmillan. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-04357-5

Sweet, Henry. 1900. A new English grammar: Logical and historical. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Trager, George L. and Bernard Bloch. 1941. The syllabic phonemes of English. Language 17. 223–246.

Trager, George L. and Henry Lee Smith. 1957. An outline of English structure, vol. 3 of *Studies in Linguistics: Occasional Papers*. Washington: American Council of Learned Societies.

Wells, John C. 1982. Accents of English. Cambridge University Press.