## Prosodic Constituent Movement in Ukrainian



# Brian Agbayani<sup>1</sup>, Chris Golston<sup>1</sup> & Victoria Teliga<sup>2</sup> California State University Fresno<sup>1</sup> & London<sup>2</sup>

OCP13 Budapest 13–16 January 2016

#### Abstract

Extant accounts of scrambling in Ukrainian generally don't extend past object- and other NP-related processes (Féry et al. 2007, Mykhaylyk 2010). Analysis of Slavic scrambling as XP movement (Corver 1992, Bošković 2005) runs into problems with split constituency, as does OT syntax (Gouskova 2001). Remnant movement (Sekerina 1997, Bašić 2004) runs afoul of Slavic data and theory too (Pereltsvaig 2008, Kariaeva 2009). Analyses that mix syntax with prosody (Antonyuk-Yudina & Mykhaylyk 2009; Mykhaylyk 2012) are more promising but also fail. Ukrainian scrambles only prosodic objects, ignores syntactic principles, and respects phonological principles. We propose it is *phonological movement* (Agbayani & Golston 2010, to appear; Agbayani, Golston & Ishii 2015; Bennett, Elfner, & McCloskey to appear).

## Scrambling is not syntax

- Scrambling ignores part of speech (N, V, A, Adv, Det...) hard to model with feature-checking, EPP or the like
- Scrambling ignores  $X^0/XP$  distinction no uniform landing site for movement (SPEC or  $X^0$ )

Sekerina (1997) distinguishes *split scrambling* (moving less than an XP) from *XP-scrambling* (moving a full XP)

• Scrambling ignores syntactic constituency

ciejua radisnojubsxvyljovanyj[ ta [ tb [novynoju]]]this good excitednews'excited by this good news'

 $vona_a$  zavdannja\_bja vpevnena ščo [ $t_a$  [vykonaje  $t_b$ ]she taskI am.sure that will.perform'I'm sure that she will perform the task'

Scrambling ignores islands

Coordinate Structure Constraint (Ross 1967)

mašynuamaje [ ta i kvartyru]carhasand apartment'has a car and an apartment'

Left Branch Condition (Ross 1967)

skil'kya vona pročytala [ta cikavyx knyžok]]
how.many she read interesting books
'How many interesting books has she read?' (Fery e.a. 2007)

Subject Condition (Ross 1967)

bagatoa mynulo [ta rokiv]many have.passed years'many years have passed'

Adjunct Condition (Huang 1982)

va riznyxbmeškajut' [ ta [ tb [mistahin different they.livecities'They live in different cities'

Freezing Islands (Wexler & Culicover 1980)

vidsotkivb [na dvadc'at' tb]a zris ta riven'
percent by twenty increased level
'The level increased by twenty percent'

Anti-Locality (Grohmann 2002)

[duže<sub>a</sub> [v [t<sub>a</sub> tisnyh stosunkah] very in close relationship 'in very close relationship'

Accounts based on syntax or syntax+prosody have to explain why scrambling ignores so much syntax.

### Scrambling is not syntax (cont.)

• Scrambling splits names and compounds (syntactic atoms)

Olena I today met Verbyc'ka'

'Today I met Olena Verbyc'ka'

va školib vin navčavsja [ta [tb internati]]
in school he studied boarding
'He studied in a boarding-school'

• Scrambling ignores LF entirely

Reflexives scramble past their antecedents

 $sebe_a$  $ja_a$ proce $ves^j$ časpytaju $t_a$ selfIabout this all time ask'I ask myself about this all the time'

Reciprocals scramble past their antecedents

duže  $[odyn \text{ vid } odnogo]_a \text{ vony}_a \text{ vidriznjajut}^j s^j a t_a$  greatly one from another they differ 'They differ greatly one from another'

• Scrambling can be *partial* and is *optional* (Fanselow & Lanertová 2012: Ukrainian data is the same)

What did you do? What happened?

[Einen HAsen]<sub>i</sub> habe ich t<sub>i</sub> gefangen
a rabbit have I caught
'I caught a rabbit.' [German]

What did you see there?

Wir haben eine LaWIne gesehen
we have an avalanche seen
'We saw an avalanche!' [German]

Feature-checking can't be *partially satisfied* or done *in situ*. Focus/topic aren't lexical properties, so using them in syntax violates inclusiveness condition (Chomsky 1995)

## Srambling is phonology

• Sekerina's "split scrambling" = movement of  $\omega$ 

Olena I today met Verbyc'ka'

'Today I met Olena Verbyc'ka'

(*v školi*)<sub>w</sub> vin navčavsja *internati*] in school he studied boarding 'He studied in a boarding-school'

(cieju (radisnoju)<sub>(ii)</sub>)<sub>(ii)</sub> sxvyl<sup>j</sup>ovanyj (iii) novynoju this good excited news 'excited by this good news'

• Sekerina's "XP-scrambling" = movement of φ

duže  $(odyn \text{ vid } odnogo)_{\phi} \text{ vony}_a \text{ vidriznjajut}^{j}s^{j}a \phi$  greatly one from another they differ they differ greatly one from another'

vona $_{\omega}$ zavdannja $_{\phi}$ javpevnenaščovykonaje $\phi$ shetaskIam.surethatwill.perform'I'm sure that she will perform the task'

• Scrambling is sensitive to syllable count

polysyllabic P can front, monosyllabic P cannot

protjagomω vony zustričalys ω lita
 during they met summer
 'They met during summer.'

\*uo vony zustrilys o universyteti in they met university 'They met in the university.'

### Scrambling is phonology (cont.)

• Scrambling is sensitive to the OCP (Leben 1973) and blocked if it brings together homophonous  $\omega$  within  $\omega$ 

\*Tomu *tomu čolovikovi* vona ne mogladovirjaty p thus that man she not could trust 'That's why she couldn't trust *that man*.'

Tomu *tij žinci* vona ne mogla dovirjaty p thus that woman she not could trust 'That's why she couldn't trust *that woman*.'

#### General Claim

Slavic Scrambling

ignores part of speech, X<sup>0</sup>/XP distinction, syntactic constituency, syntactic islands, binding issues at LF; and splits syntactic atoms

because scrambling is not syntax

Slavic scrambling

respects prosodic constituency, OCP, prosodic size; and moves  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$ 

because scrambling is phonology

Slavic scrambling is *phonological movement* ω and φ scrambled within a purely prosodic tree *after* all syntax is done, subject only to phonology

Ancient Greek Agbayani, Golston (2010)

Irish
Japanese
Latin
Russian
Llkrainian
Bennett, Elfner, McCloskey (to appear)
Agbayani, Golston, Ishii (2015)
Agbayani, Golston (to appear)
Agbayani, Golston, Henderer (2011)
Teliga 2011 and here

Ukrainian Teliga 2011 and here

Syntax feeds and precedes phonology

Syntax [V, [D, [Adj, N]]<sub>VP</sub> (immediate dominance)

↓

Interface (ω (σ ω) ω)φ (linear precedence)

↓

(scrambling)

We claim more generally that

There is no "movement at PF"

Phonology  $((\sigma \ \omega) \ \omega \ \omega))\phi$ 

no "syntactic movement late in the derivation" Slavic scrambling has nothing to do with syntax

Syntax and prosody are never co-present no "prosodic movement with a syntactic tree" Slavic scrambling is purely phonological

#### References

Agbayani, Brian & Chris Golston. 2010. Phonological movement in Classical Greek. *Language*.

Agbayani, Brian & Chris Golston. to appear. Phonological constituents and their movement in Latin. *Phonology*.

Agbayani, Brian, Chris Golston & Dasha Henderer. 2011. Phonological movement.

\*Proceedings WCCFL 28.\*

Agbayani, Brian, Chris Golston & Toru Ishii. 2015. Syntactic and prosodic scrambling in

Japanese. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory*.
Antonyuk-Yudina, Svitlana and Roksolana Mykhaylyk. 2013. Prosodic effects in word order. *Proceedings of NELS*.

Bašić, Monika. 2004. *Nominal subextraction and the structure of NP in Serbian and English*. Doctoral dissertation, Tromsø.

Bennett, Ryan, Emily Elfner and Jim McCloskey. to appear. Lightest to the right. *Linguistic Inquiry*.

Bokšović, Želiko. 2005. On the locality of LBE and the structure of NP. *Studia Li* 

Bokšović, Željko. 2005. On the locality of LBE and the structure of NP. *Studia Linguistica*. Chomsky, Noam. 1995. *The minimalist program*. Féry, Caroline, Alla Paslawska and Gisbert Fanselow. 2007. Nominal split constructions in

Ukrainian. Journal of the Slavic Linguistics Society.
Huang, C.-T. James. 1982. Logical relations in Chinese and the theory of grammar.

Doctoral dissertation, MIT.

Kariaeva, Natalia. 2009. *Radical discontinuity: syntax at the interface*. Doctoral

Kariaeva, Natalia. 2009. *Radical discontinuity: syntax at the interface*. Doctoral dissertation, Rutgers University.

Leben, William R.1973. Suprasegmental phonology. Doctoral dissertation, MIT.

Mykhaylyk, Roksolana. 2010. *Optional object scrambling in child and adult Ukrainian*.

Doctoral dissertation, SUNY Stony Brook.

Mykhaylyk, Roksolana. 2012. Change in prosody as an alternative. *Penn Working Papers* 

Linguistics.

Pereltsvaig, Asya. 2008. Split phrases in colloquial Russian. Studia Linguistica.

Ross, John R. 1967. Constraints on variables in syntax. Doctoral dissertation, MIT.

Ross, John R. 1967. *Constraints on variables in syntax*. Doctoral dissertation, MIT. Sekerina. 1997. *The syntax and processing of scrambling constructions in Russian*. Doctoral dissertation, CUNY.

Teliga, Victoria. 2011. Phonological movement in Ukrainian. Master's thesis, CSUFresno.