

## A Garden path sentences

**My favourite author wrote this novel was likely to be a bestseller**

1      2                      3 →  
 (i) [ **My favourite author** ] [Pred **wrote this novel** ]

1      2              3                      4      5      6      7! →  
 (ii) [ **My favourite author** ] [Pred [ **wrote** ] [ **this novel was likely to be a bestseller** ] ]

Object of **wrote**: NP vs. Clause

Backtracking, rebuilding of structure

Sentences:

*linear* (left-to-right) and *hierarchical*

**The train stopped at platform five was ten minutes late**

1 2 →

(i) [Subj? **The train**] [Pred? **stopped at platform 5 was 10 minutes late**]

1 2 3 4 5 6 7! →

(ii) [Subj✓ **The train stopped at platform 5**] **was ten minutes late**

**stopped** has two analyses:

1. active past tense
2. passive (= past) participle

## **B** Sentence processing

- Sentences\* (unlike words) not stored and retrieved from storage but produced/processed online
- syntactic structure is very short-lived
- understanding – segmenting & building syntactic representations –

\*most sentences, that is: think of *Good day! How are you?* etc.

Experiments ① and ② below show that linguists' theoretical constructs are *similar* to those in the listeners' heads:

① CLICK STUDY: aimed at SEGMENTATION techniques

**The actor who everybody loves is coming to town soon**  
sentence recorded, one click added, subjects listen (are given written copy)

click locations:      **the ++ actor**  
                                 **who ++ everybody**  
                                 **actor ++ who**  
                                 **everybody ++ loves**

Subjects *misplace* early/late clicks, i.e.  
report that they hear them at the clause boundary (**actor ++ who**)  
*but* accurately perceive the objectively placed ones

Common sense gives no explanation: no acoustic gap/pause btw **actor**  
and **who**

Acoustic (*ie* physical) analysis shows no such gap

**The actor [Rel Cl **who everybody loves**] is coming to town soon**

② PROBE RECOGNITION STUDY: aimed at EMPTY CATEGORIES (advanced)

Gaps/traces that we do not see but the syntax does

Was the PM lying? ← The PM was lying



← movement of **was**, i.e. an **Aux**

Which book did you speak to Bob about? ← Which book did you speak to Bob about \_\_\_\_\_?



← movement of **which book**,  
i.e. complement of prep. **about**

## Probe recognition:

read sentences off screen – was the probe word in it?  
measure RT (reaction time)

RT shorter for more recent items: the *recency effect* (sentences linear!)

(1) **Bob said that the boss had sold the books** ← comes late

(2) **The books disappeared after a week according to Bob**

(3) **The books were sold by the boss and I bought them**  
← pronoun helps RT

(4) **Which books did you speak to Bob about \_\_\_\_\_?**

Probe word = **books**

For (2), RT is expectably longer than for (1)

For (3), RT is *shorter* significantly than for (2) – have **them** at end

For (4), RT short, similar to (3): syntacticians claim that trace  
of moved element shows recency effect (*ie* is like pronoun)